M^CLAREN TRAFFIC ENGINEERING

Address: Shop 7, 720 Old Princes Highway Sutherland NSW 2232 Postal: P.O Box 66 Sutherland NSW 1499

> Telephone: +61 2 9521 7199 Web: www.mclarentraffic.com.au Email: admin@mclarentraffic.com.au

Division of RAMTRANS Australia ABN: 45067491678 RPEQ: 19457

Transport Planning, Traffic Impact Assessments, Road Safety Audits, Expert Witness

3 February 2025

Reference: 240801.01FA

MITZ Design 1246 Canterbury Road Roselands NSW Attention: Michael Popovski

TRAFFIC AND PARKING IMPACT ASSESSMENT OF THE PROPOSED MIXED USE DEVELOPMENT AT 1246 CANTERBURY ROAD, ROSELANDS

Dear Michael,

Reference is made to your request to provide a traffic and parking impact assessment for the proposed mixed use development at 1246 Canterbury Road, Roselands (proposed plans reproduced in **Annexure A**). The scale of the proposal, as relevant to traffic and parking impacts, is summarised in **Table 1**.

Category	Sub-Category	Scale
Existing Showroom	Existing Showroom	137m ²
Boarding House	Boarding Rooms	17 Boarding Rooms
Parking Spaces	Residential	5

TABLE 1: PROPOSED SCALE OF DEVELOPMENT

Access to the proposed development is provided via an existing two-way driveway from Draper Avenue. The other existing driveway on Draper Avenue will be removed with the kerb reinstated to match the existing. It has been advised that the existing development does not accommodate any on-site car parking spaces.

The assessment is provided in Sections 1-3 of this letter, with a summary of the relevant findings below:

- The proposed design includes a total of five (5) residential car parking spaces, meeting the requirements of *State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing) 2021*. Swept path testing of the proposed design is reproduced in **Annexure B**;
- The traffic generation of the site is estimated at some seven (7) trips in the AM and PM peak hours, which is considered to be of such low order that it will not have a noticeable impact on the surrounding road network.



1 Site Location and Access

The location of the site is depicted on an aerial image in **Figure 1**. The characteristics of the site and the surrounding transport network are summarised in **Table 2**.



Site Location

FIGURE 1: SITE CONTEXT – AERIAL PHOTO

TABLE 2: SITE CONTEXT

Zoning	The site is zoned <i>B2 – Local Centre</i> under the Canterbury- Bankstown Local Environmental Plan 2023.		
Roads Fronting Site	 The site fronts the following roads: Canterbury Road (Classified State Road No 167) Drapers Avenue (Unclassified Local Road) Access is proposed from Drapers Avenue, the lower order road. 		
State Planning Controls	The site is neither of sufficient size or capacity and is therefore not required to be referred to Transport for NSW (TfNSW) as part of the Development Application process.		
Public Transport	The site is located approximately 1.2km walking distance fro Punchbowl Train Station and 1.5km walking distance from Wile Park Train Station. The site is located within a 200m walkin distance of an existing bus stop (ID:2196293) on Mount Avenu		



2 Parking and Access Design

The car parking, access and servicing requirements of the site have been assessed, with the relevant details summarised in **Table 3**.

Category	Control	Compliance with Control
	Canterbury Bankstown Development Control Plan 2023	Yes – Council's DCP requires
	Chapter 3 – General Requirements	the provision of nine (9)
	3.2 Parking	residential car parking spaces
	Boarding houses	although the minimum parking control is the SEPP (Housing).
-	0.5 car spaces per boarding room;	
	and 1 car space for each person employed in connection with the development and who is resident on the site.	SEPP (Housing) requires four (4) residential car parking spaces (rounded up from 3.4) as the subject site is located in an
	State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing) 2021 – Chapter 2 – Division 2	accessible area. See Section 2. 1 for further details.
	(2) The following are non-discretionary development standards in relation to the carrying out of development to which this Division applies—	The proposed development provides five (5) residential car parking spaces exceeding the SEPP (Housing) controls.
	(i) if a relevant planning instrument does not specify a requirement for a lower number of parking spaces—at least the following number of parking spaces—	It is also noted that the proposed removal of one of the existing driveways on Drapers Avenue
	 (i) for development on land within an accessible area—0.2 parking spaces for each boarding room, 	and reinstating of the kerb is expected to result in a sufficient length to accommodate an additional on-street parking
	 (ii) otherwise—0.5 parking spaces for each boarding room, 	space.
	Canterbury Bankstown Development Control Plan 2023	Yes – The site provides two (2) bicycle and nil (0) motorcycle
	Chapter 3 – General Requirements	spaces on-site.
	3.2 Parking	Councils DCP does not require bicycle parking provision for
	Boarding houses	boarding houses and does not
	Bicycle spaces: Not applicable	specify any requirements for
Bicycle / Motorcycle Parking	State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing) 2021 – Chapter 2 – Division 2	motorcycle parking, such that none is considered to be
	25 Standards for boarding houses	required.
	(1) Development consent must not be granted under this Division unless the consent authority is satisfied that—	SEPP (Housing) requires suitable provision of these spaces. Based on Census data, less than 1% of residents in the Roselands area travel to work via a motorcycle. Whilst no

TABLE 3: PARKING ASSESSMENT SUMMARY



	(i) the boarding house will include adequate bicycle and motorcycle parking spaces.	 motorcycle parking spaces are currently provided, it is noted that the car parking area provides one (1) carparking space in excess of minimum requirements. Accordingly, if Council deemed it necessary, one of the provided car parking spaces could be replaced with two (2) motorcycle spaces. Whilst Council does not strictly require bicycle parking, MTE considers it adequate to provide some bicycle parking. Two (2) bicycle parking spaces have been provided which is considered reasonable.
Accessible Parking	Section D4D6 of the Building Code of Australia (BCA) a) Class 1b and 3 buildings: For a boarding house, the number of accessible carparking spaces required is to be calculated by multiplying the total number of carparking spaces by the percentage of accessible bedrooms to the total number of bedrooms.	 Yes – Two (2) out of seventeen (17) of the proposed bedrooms are accessible bedrooms, leading to the requirement of one (1) accessible parking space. The proposed plans detail the provision of one (1) accessible parking space, satisfying BCA requirements.
Loading and Servicing Facilities	No formal loading or servicing facilities are strictly required by the Canterbury Bankstown Development Control Plan 2023 for multi-dwelling housing or boarding house developments.	Yes – Any loading and servicing requirements, including waste collection, can be completed on- street along the site frontage.
Car Parking Design	Assessed against the requirements of: - AS2890.1-2004 - AS2890.6:2022	Yes – All car parking provided meets the requirements of the relevant standard. Relevant swept path testing has been undertaken with the results provided in Annexure B .



2.1 Accessible Area

Reference is made to *State Environmental Planning Policy (Housing) 2021* which defines an Accessible Area as follows:

accessible area means land within—

(a) 800m walking distance of-

(i) a public entrance to a railway, metro or light rail station, or

(ii) for a light rail station with no entrance—a platform of the light rail station, or

(iii) a public entrance to a wharf from which a Sydney Ferries ferry service operates, or

(b) (Repealed)

(c) 400m walking distance of a bus stop used by a regular bus service, within the meaning of the Passenger Transport Act 1990, that has at least 1 bus per hour servicing the bus stop between—

(i) 6am and 9pm each day from Monday to Friday, both days inclusive, and

(ii) 8am and 6pm on each Saturday and Sunday.

The subject site is located approximately 1.2km walking distance from Punchbowl Train Station and 1.5km walking distance from Wiley Park Train Station.

The subject site is located within a 200m walking distance of an existing bus stop (ID:2196293) on Mount Avenue which services bus Routes 487 (Bankstown Central to Canterbury), 941 (Bankstown to Hurstville via Greenacre) and 944 (Mortdale to Bankstown via Peakhurst Heights) provided by Transit Systems (Route 487) and U-Go Mobility (Routes 941 and 944). Of these bus Routes, Route 941 provides at least 1 bus per hour servicing the bus stop between 6:00_{AM} and 9:00_{PM} from Monday to Friday & 8:00_{AM} to 6:00_{PM} on Saturday and Sunday. It is noted that the schedule for this Route assessed was a PDF timetable valid from 14 October 2024. Therefore, the subject site is located within an accessible area, in accordance with the SEPP (Housing) 2021.



3 Traffic Generation and Impact

The traffic generation of the site has been calculated and its impact on the surrounding road network assessed, with the relevant details of this assessment provided in **Table 4**.

Traffic Generation	 Boarding Houses ⁽¹⁾ Site AM Peak Hour Trips: 0.30 vehicle trips per boarding room Site PM Peak Hour Trips: 0.35 vehicle trips per boarding room 	The peak hour traffic generation of the 17 boarding rooms is estimated to be some six (6) vehicles trips (rounded up from 5.1 in AM peak or 5.95 in PM peak) in the morning or evening peak hour periods.
Assessment Needed	 Likely impact of development: ⁽²⁾ Low Impact (<10 Trips): No Detailed Assessment Required Moderate Impact (10-100 Trips): Traffic Impact Statement Required High Impact (>100 Trips): Traffic Impact Assessment Required 	The peak hour traffic generation of the proposed development is below 10 trips and therefore no detailed assessment of external traffic flow impacts is required. This low volume of traffic generation is likely to be within the peak hourly fluctuations in traffic flows on the surrounding roads and will not have any noticeable effect on nearby roads and public road intersections.

TABLE 4: TRAFFIC ASSESSMENT SUMMARY

Notes:

(1) Source: TfNSW Guide to Transport Impact Assessment 2024

(2) Source: Austroads Guide to Traffic Management Part 12: Integrated Transport Assessments for Developments Figure 5.1

Please contact the undersigned should you require further information or assistance.

Yours faithfully M^cLaren Traffic Engineering

PUTCENT

Laen Stewart Senior Traffic Engineer BE (Civil) TfNSW Accredited Level 1 Road Safety Auditor TfNSW Accredited Traffic Management Plan Designer



ANNEXURE A: PROPOSED PLANS (3 SHEETS)



D R A P E R A V E N U E





WEST ELEVATION









ANNEXURE B: SWEPT PATH TESTING RESULTS (5 SHEETS)



AUSTRALIAN STANDARD 85TH PERCENTILE SIZE VEHICLE (B85)



AUSTRALIAN STANDARD 99.8TH PERCENTILE SIZE VEHICLE (B99)

Blue – Tyre Path Green – Vehicle Body Red – 300mm Clearance Tested at 5km/h





B99 ENTRY AND EXIT OF THE SITE Successful





B85 ACCESSIBLE SPACE ENTRY AND EXIT 3 Manoeuvres Forward IN / 2 Manoeuvres Reverse OUT Successful



B85 SPACE 2 ENTRY AND EXIT 3 Manoeuvres Forward IN / 2 Manoeuvres Reverse OUT Successful





B85 SPACE 4 ENTRY AND EXIT 2 Manoeuvres Reverse IN / 3 Manoeuvres Forward OUT Successful